

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

*\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\}\eta}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tett}\titt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\titt}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tetx}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texitt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texit{\titil\titt{\text{\ti}\tinttit{\text{\ti}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{* 

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,962	08/20/2003	Robert D. Larsen	3395-117	5011
22429	7590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			HYEON, HAE M	
1700 DIAGO	NAL ROAD			
SUITE 300 /310		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		2839		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,962	LARSEN, ROBERT D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hae M Hyeon	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.5	September 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2004 is.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	Λ □ Into : 0	(PTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<del></del>	atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on September 27, 2004. These drawings are approved.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knowles (4,017,143) in view of Yaegashi (4,737,114).

In the present specification page 11, the second paragraph under "DETAILED DESCRIPTION" stated that the electrical contact 100 of the instant invention is similar to the electrical contact of Figs. 1-9, which disclosed in the reference of Knowles, with the exception that a slot 150 has been added to the C-shaped center portion 130. Thus, the examiner will not describe the structure of the contact 100 of Knowles, but only focus on the missing slot.

While Knowles does not disclose or teach the contact 100 having a slot Yaegashi discloses an electrical contact 3 having a compliant part 30 with a slot 33 that provides an easy fitting of the contact 3 into a small plated aperture 2 of a circuit board (see Column 1, lines 59-61).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the contact taught by Knowles such that it would have a slot as

Art Unit: 2839

taught by Yaegashi because the slot provides an easy fitting of the contact into a small plated aperture of a circuit board. Furthermore, a compliant part of an electrical contact having a slot is well known for providing press-fit insertion of the contact in the aperture of the circuit board by providing a sufficient holding force to hold the contact within the aperture of the circuit board.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on September 1, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that because claims 1,19, 20, 21, 39 and 40 of the instant invention recites the slot in the center section or the C-shaped cross section, the ordinary artisan would need look no further than Knowles because Knowles teaches away from the addition of the slot. The applicant pointed out the column 3, lines 29-32 for the reason. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's view because the second paragraph in the "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" of the present specification stated, "To overcome the disadvantage noted above, the present inventor has found that by adding a small slot to the C-section, an electrical contact can be produced having an insertion force that is lower than the insertion force of the electrical contact of Knowles but still retains an adequate retention force." Clearly, the present invention looked on the electrical contact of Knowles and improved it by adding a small slot. Thus, the applicant's argument about the ordinary artisan would not look further on Knowles is not persuasive. Next, the applicant argues that Yaegashi is only directed to reducing the damage to the plated aperture as opposed to making the insertion of the contact into the aperture easier. The examiner disagrees because Yaegashi clearly stated in the first paragraph of the "SUMMARY"

Art Unit: 2839

OF THE INVENTION" that an objective of the invention is to provide an electrical contact pin useful for an easy to fit into a small plated aperture of a circuit board. This means that the contact pin is easy to insert into an aperture. Thus, the examiner believes the rejection stated in the previous office action filed on June 1, 2004 is appropriate.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hae M Hyeon whose telephone number is 571-272-2093. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,962

Art Unit: 2839

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hae M Hyeon Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

hmh hmh

Hae Moon Hyeon